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# Feedback on Faculty Department of CSE Sample feedback forms - Pg. No. 2 <br> Feedback Analysis - Pg. No. 6 

## Computer Science \& Engineering <br> Admission Year 2019-2020 <br> Software Engineering(18CS35) Shatananda Bhat $P$

## Course Feedback Report

 Total students: 52Percentgae=82.31\%

| SI. No. | Feedback Parameters | Scores |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Is regular and punctual in taking up of classes | 4.21 |
| 2 | Demonstrates sound knowledge on the subject | 4.08 |
| 3 | Provides additional knowledge on the subject beyond syllabus | 4.04 |
| 4 | Is fair in dealing with students | 4.19 |
| 5 | Creates an atmosphere conducive to learning | 4.06 |
| 6 | Deals effectively with student's behaviour | 4.17 |
| 7 | Provides notes that is a good match for the objectives | 4.08 |
| 8 | Plans activities that are well differentiated and appreciated by students | 3.98 |
| 9 | Welcomes/settles the class appropriately and displays humble but commanding skills | 4.15 |
| 10 | Makes objectives if the session/topic explicit to students | 4.17 |
| 11 | Engages the class with activities that are appropriate and creative | 4.13 |
| 12 | Encourage them to think | 4.12 |

## CO Feedback

Percentgae=4.15\%

## Computer Science \& Engineering

Admission Year 2018-2019
Database Management System(18CS53) Lohith B

## Course Feedback Report Total students: 59

Percentgae=93.53\%

| SI. No. | Feedback Parameters | Scores |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Is regular and punctual in taking up of classes | 4.69 |
| 2 | Demonstrates sound knowledge on the subject | 4.69 |
| 3 | Provides additional knowledge on the subject beyond syllabus | 4.68 |
| 4 | Is fair in dealing with students | 4.66 |
| 5 | Creates an atmosphere conducive to learning | 4.69 |
| 6 | Deals effectively with student's behaviour | 4.69 |
| 7 | Provides notes that is a good match for the objectives | 4.66 |
| 8 | Plans activities that are well differentiated and appreciated by students | 4.66 |
| 9 | Welcomes/settles the class appropriately and displays humble but commanding skills | 4.64 |
| 10 | Makes objectives if the session/topic explicit to students | 4.64 |
| 11 | Engages the class with activities that are appropriate and creative | 4.69 |
| 12 | Encourage them to think | 4.69 |

## CO Feedback

Percentgae=4.58\%

## Computer Science \& Engineering <br> Admission Year 2019-2020 <br> Object Oriented Concepts(18CS45) <br> Saritha. Suvarna

## Course Feedback Report

Total students: 56
Percentgae=95.92\%

| SI. No. | Feedback Parameters | Scores |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Is regular and punctual in taking up of classes | 4.88 |
| 2 | Demonstrates sound knowledge on the subject | 4.86 |
| 3 | Provides additional knowledge on the subject beyond syllabus | 4.86 |
| 4 | Is fair in dealing with students | 4.61 |
| 5 | Creates an atmosphere conducive to learning | 4.88 |
| 6 | Deals effectively with student's behaviour | 4.71 |
| 7 | Provides notes that is a good match for the objectives | 4.84 |
| 8 | Plans activities that are well differentiated and appreciated by students | 4.68 |
| 9 | Welcomes/settles the class appropriately and displays humble but commanding skills | 4.82 |
| 10 | Makes objectives if the session/topic explicit to students | 4.84 |
| 11 | Engages the class with activities that are appropriate and creative | 4.77 |
| 12 | Encourage them to think | 4.82 |

## CO Feedback

## Percentgae=4.65\%

## Computer Science \& Engineering

Admission Year 2018-2019 System Software and Compilers(18CS61) Suresha D

## Course Feedback Report

 Total students: 53Percentgae=81.45\%

| SI. No. | Feedback Parameters | Scores |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Is regular and punctual in taking up of classes | 4.28 |
| 2 | Demonstrates sound knowledge on the subject | 4.04 |
| 3 | Provides additional knowledge on the subject beyond syllabus | 4.04 |
| 4 | Is fair in dealing with students | 4.06 |
| 5 | Creates an atmosphere conducive to learning | 4.02 |
| 6 | Deals effectively with student's behaviour | 4.13 |
| 7 | Provides notes that is a good match for the objectives | 4.11 |
| 8 | Plans activities that are well differentiated and appreciated by students | 4.06 |
| 9 | Welcomes/settles the class appropriately and displays humble but commanding skills | 4.08 |
| 10 | Makes objectives if the session/topic explicit to students | 4.06 |
| 11 | Engages the class with activities that are appropriate and creative | 3.96 |
| 12 | Encourage them to think | 4.04 |

## CO Feedback

Percentgae=4.22\%

CANARA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
Benjanapadavu, Bantwal Taluk
Mangalore - 574219

## Computer Science and Engineering Department

## ANALYSIS OF STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Academic Year: 2020-2021
Sem: Odd

| Sl. No. | Faculty name | Course name | Course code | FB in \%age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Raksha Salian | Transform Calculus, Fourier Series And Numerical Techniques | 18MAT31 | 86.63 |
| 2 | Shyamala | Transform Calculus, Fourier Series And Numerical Techniques | 18MAT31 | 92.68 |
| 3 | Sathisha M S | Data structures and Applications | 18CS32 | 85.91 |
| 4 | Suresha D | Data structures and Applications | 18CS32 | 89.97 |
| 5 | Dhananjaya B | Analog and Digital Electronics | 18CS33 | 91.67 |
| 6 | Anupama V | Analog and Digital Electronics | 18CS33 | 87.12 |
| 7 | Santosh D K | Computer Organization | 18CS34 | 88.3 |
| 8 | Satish Shenoy | Computer Organization | 18CS34 | 86.12 |
| 9 | Jagadisha N | Software Engineering | 18CS35 | 82.48 |
| 10 | Shatananda Bhat | Software Engineering | 18CS35 | 82.31 |
| 11 | Shrisha H S | Discrete Mathematical Structures | 18CS36 | 79.19 |
| 12 | Saritha Suvarna | Discrete Mathematical Structures | 18CS36 | 91.54 |
| 13 | Dhananjaya B | Analog and Digital Electronics Lab | 18CSL37 | 90.27 |
| 14 | Anupama V | Analog and Digital Electronics Lab | 18CSL37 | 90.13 |
| 15 | Santosh D K | Data Structures Lab | 18CSL38 | 88.8 |
| 16 | Saritha Suvarna | Data Structures Lab | 18CSL38 | 91.54 |
| 17 | Ganesh Kamath | Balake Kannada | 18KVK39 | 72.58 |
| 18 | Ganesh Kamath | Samskruthika Kannada | 18KAK39 | 83.41 |
| 19 | Annapurna M | Management, Entrepreneurship for IT industry | 18CS51 | 88.59 |


| 20 | Anupama | Management, Entrepreneurship for IT industry | 18CS51 | 86.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | Krishna Prasad | Computer Networks and Security | 18CS52 | 92.37 |
| 22 | Sushma M D | Computer Networks and Security | 18CS52 | 93.25 |
| 23 | Santosh Hiremath | Database Management System | 18CS53 | 91.27 |
| 24 | Lohith B | Database Management System | 18CS53 | 93.53 |
| 25 | Nischay Kumar | Automata theory and Computability | 18CS54 | 80 |
| 26 | Demian D'Mello | Application Development using Python | 18CS55 | 91.19 |
| 27 | Annapurna M | Application Development using Python | 18CS55 | 89.83 |
| 28 | Kaushik K S | Unix Programming | 18CS56 | 89.8 |
| 29 | Sudheer Baraker | Unix Programming | 18CS56 | 87.37 |
| 30 | Krishna Prasad | Computer Network Lab | 18CSL57 | 92.18 |
| 31 | Sushma M D | Computer Network Lab | 18CSL57 | 89.8 |
| 32 | Santosh Hiremath | DBMS Laboratory with mini project | 18CSL58 | 91.78 |
| 33 | Lohith B | DBMS Laboratory with mini project | 18CSL58 | 91.67 |
| 34 | Aneesh V Bhat | Environmental Studies | 18CIV59 | 92.42 |
| 35 | Alok Ranjan | Web Technology and its applications | 17CS71 | 92.34 |
| 36 | Sunil Kumar B L | Advanced Computer <br> Architectures | 17CS72 | 91.99 |
| 37 | Deepak D | Machine Learning | 17CS73 | 93.47 |
| 38 | Shrisha H S | Machine Learning | 17CS73 | 89.8 |
| 39 | Rajgopal K T | Cloud Computing and its Applications | 17CS742 | 91.4 |
| 40 | Shatananda Bhat | Storage Area Networks | 17CS754 | 92.42 |
| 41 | Jagadisha N | Storage Area Networks | 17CS754 | 90.34 |
| 42 | Deepak D | Machine Learning Lab | 17CSL76 | 92 |
| 43 | Shrisha H S | Machine Learning Lab | 17CSL76 | 89.83 |
| 44 | Shatananda Bhat | Web Technology Laboratory with mini project | 17CSL77 | 92.25 |
| 45 | Satish Shenoy | Web Technology Laboratory with mini project | 17CSL77 | 91.19 |


| 46 | Sathisha M S | Project Work Phase-1 <br> +Project work Seminar | 17 CSP78 | 91.16 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Observation:

The average faculty feedback obtained for this academic year is $89.13 \%$ with lowest $=72.58$ and highest $=93.53$.

## Action suggested:

As per College norms, it is expected that every faculty get minimum feedback of $70 \%$ in their respective course. Since all faculties have obtained feedback is above $70 \%$ it is suggested to the concerned faculty to continue the same good work and improve further.

## CANARA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Benjanapaday, Bantwal Taluk
Mangalore - 574219
Computer Science and Engineering Department

## ANALYSIS OF STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Academic Year: 2020-2021
Sem: Even

| SI. No. | Faculty name | Course name | Course code | FB in \%age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Satish Hegde | Complex Analysis, Probability and Statistical Methods | 18MAT41 | 90.67 |
| 2 | Verdine Noronha | Design and Analysis of Algorithms | 18CS42 | 89.49 |
| 3 | Sunil Kumar B L | Design and Analysis of Algorithms | 18CS42 | 90.37 |
| 4 | Jagadisha N | Operating Systems | 18CS43 | 84.29 |
| 5 | Deepak D | Operating Systems | 18CS43 | 83.75 |
| 6 | Dhananjaya B | Microcontroller and Embedded Systems | 18 CS 44 | 93.28 |
| 7 | Satish Shenoy | Microcontroller and Embedded Systems | 18CS44 | 81.76 |
| 8 | Lohith B | Object Oriented Concepts | 18CS45 | 91.61 |
| 9 | Saritha Suvarna | Object Oriented Concepts | 18CS45 | 95.92 |
| 10 | H Nagesh Shenoy | Data Communication | 18CS46 | 87.5 |
| 11 | Rajgopal K T | Data Communication | 18CS46 | 86.28 |
| 12 | Verdine Noronha | Design and Analysis of Algorithm Lab | 18CSL47 | 92.36 |
| 13 | Sunil Kumar B L | Design and Analysis of Algorithm Lab | 18 CSL 47 | 89.12 |
| 14 | Dhananjaya B | Microcontroller and Embedded Systems Lab | 18CSL48 | 91.18 |
| 15 | Satish Shenoy | Microcontroller and Embedded Systems Lab | 18 CSL 48 | 83.15 |
| 16 | Gowrish Nagvekar | Constitution of India, Professional Ethics and Cyber Law | 18CPC49 | 85.8 |
| 17 | Sathisha M S | System Software and Compilers | 18CS61 | 88.53 |
| 18 | Suresha D | System Software and Compilers | 18CS61 | 81.45 |
| 19 | Shatananda Bhat | Computer Graphics and Visualization | 18CS62 | 86.13 |


| 20 | Sushma M D | Computer Graphics and <br> Visualization | 18 CS62 | 87.52 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 21 | Alok Ranjan | Web Technology and its <br> applications | 18 CS63 | 86.33 |
| 22 | Demian D’Mello | Web Technology and its <br> applications | 18 CS63 | 84.5 |
| 23 | Santosh Hiremath | Advanced JAVA and J2EE | 18 CS644 | 84.87 |
| 24 | Annapurna M | Advanced JAVA and J2EE | 18 CS644 | 86.19 |
| 25 | Venkatesh N | Supply Chain Management | 18 ME653 | 85.49 |
| 26 | Kaushik K S | System Software Laboratory | 18 CSL66 | 86.8 |
| 27 | Suresh D | System Software Laboratory | 18 CSL66 | 83.11 |
| 28 | Shatananda Bhat | Computer Graphics Laboratory <br> with mini project | 18 CSL67 | 87.4 |
| 29 | Sushma M D | Computer Graphics Laboratory <br> with mini project | 18 CSL67 | 87.17 |
| 30 | Krishna Prasad | Mobile Application Development | 18 CSMP68 | 86.07 |
| 31 | Shrisha H S | Internet of Things and <br> Applications | 17 CS81 | 90.41 |
| 32 | Anupama V | Internet of Things and <br> Applications | 17 CS81 | 85.68 |
| 33 | Santosh D K | Big Data Analytics | 17 CS82 | 90.34 |
| 34 | Kaushik | Big Data Analytics | 17 CS82 | 86.99 |
| 35 | Alok Ranjan | System Modeling and Simulation | 17 CS834 | 89.72 |
| 36 | Santosh Hiremath | System Modeling and Simulation | 17 CS834 | 85.65 |
| 37 | Deepak D | Internship/Professional Practice | 17 CS84 | 87.73 |
| 38 | Sathisha M S | Project Work -II | 17 CSP85 | 88.99 |
| 39 | Sudheer Baraker | Seminar | 17 CSS86 | 88.84 |
| 40 | Santosh D K | Seminar | 17 CSS86 | 86.96 |

## Observation:

The average faculty feedback obtained for this academic year is $87.48 \%$ with lowest $=81.45$ and highest=95.92.

## Action suggested:

As per College norms, it is expected that every faculty get minimum feedback of $70 \%$ in their respective course. Since all faculties have obtained feedback is above $70 \%$ it is suggested to the concerned faculty to continue the same good work and improve further.


